Dear Dick, 4/1/93

Richrd Waybright's letter a copy of which I sent you got me to thinking again about Harry Livingstone and what he is up to in his book. Harry told several people that it is due to appar in October. Ordinarily that would mean the ms. is due this month. While I know about the book only what Harry has indicated he is saying, from my extensive experience with him I believe he will say it. So, whether or not it will be of interest to you, it is of interest to me to draw together some of the truth that was readily available to him of which I told him and invited him to see more, documented as you know I can document.

Harry indicated me to that he has gone for the fake prepared by the French CIA, the book that as it appeared in English was retitled from "L'Anerique Brule" to "Farewell America." That was Garrison's suggestion, accepted by the French chief spook on the project one of whose names and the name under which I knew him and he spooked earlier in Texas as Herve Lamarre. The French spooks alleged a vast conspiracy to kill JFK that includes as Harry indicated he includes in what he says is his big discovery many wealthy and influential Texans, the late H.L.Hunt by name.

As you may remember, much of my time in New Orleans was spent in damage control. How the spooks suckered Garrison in is quite a story, like a novel. I have it reconstructed and documented. There is a fanciful account by Warren Hinckle and Bill Turner, then of Ramparts, but it covers their asses and is fanciful, not at all truthful. The book was published abroad but was so outrageously libellous it could not be published here. A few copies came in. Turner had a few hundred in his garage. And all the nuts, led by the chief nut, Garrison, went for it big. A movie was cribbed from it, rather the book from which a movie was made was cribbed from Farewell America.

Once as I was about to take the plane home Garrison gave me a part of the book. It was single-spaced on legal-sized paper, rather unusual. I wrote him immediately that it was all a fake and not a very sophisticated one at that. Then I went west and began putting the whole busines together. All the buffs out there working with Garrison actually believed, as he believed that what he was promised was coming from the KGB. He told me that. There his claque had a code-name for the project on which Garrison, Turner et al involved them, "TheSan Diego radio station." The CBS station there was KGB. I was on it. It gets sicker there but no need for that here.

You may remember ~~that on~~ returning from my west-coast trip of October and November 1968 to which I referred in my letter to Oliver Stone I said that two of Garrison's staff has asked my help just as I was leaving to return home. The only reason I was not already home is that one of these two, the chief investigator, Louis Ivon, gave me a copy of the full manuscript of Farewell America and asked me to get it to Paul Rothermel, then H.L.Hunt's chief of security and a former FBI special agent and one of Livingstone's present sources, as he told me. As he also told me, he regards this as significant help from me to Hunt and makes me part of the conspiracy of which he imagines Hunt was part. Obviosuly Ivon was seeking

help in blocking what his boss was up to, more of his assassination insanities. I was the messenger. But Hunt and Rothermel did appreciate it. In an effort to forestall some of Garrison's other insanities I also gave Rothermel a copy of s sick chart of the assassination conspiracy as imagined by Garrison and his man Boxley. Among the fairly extensive documentation for this that I have is the FBI's copy of that crap. Rothermel gave it to the FBI with a cock and bull story about it.

While I could not prevent publication of the English editipn of the French spooks' fake book, it got little distribution and virtually no attention. However, they also prepared a "documentary" on this fake book. When it got here it happens I was able to abort that. I have this part documented rather well because I was driving Lamarre around!

What other help did I give H. L. Hunt to make me a part of his part of Harry's vast cpnspiracy to kill JFK?

Rothermel could prevent Hunt from giving money to the nuts of the right extreme who asked for his help through the office but he worried about those who knocked on the door of the old man's home to ask for money. Rothermel was afraid that some of that money would be spent on violence and the old man would be involved in it.

So, from time to time he checked some of those nuts out with me.

Which, naturally, makes a conspirator of me all over again.

Then there is my part in the conspiracy Harry actually says a number of us entered into to prevent his breaking the case wide open. Others with whom I'd had no contact for a long time, one of whom I never made any contact with and who was here once years ago, others with all of whom in varying degrees I disagree.

This amazing truth of the conspiracy to keep him from breaking the case open, apparently dawned on Harry when he was not greeted at the Dallas ASK convention of last October by all bowing low to him and turning the program over to him. He actually regarded that as subversion. There is a delightful spoof of Harry and what he was actually doing and saying then by the reporter for Baltimore's City Paper who, Harry himself told me, he took to Dallas so he could write otherwise about Harry at the pinnacle of his imagined success to be.

Harry has been upset over me since his first book appeared. When he asked me what I thought about it I asked him a question he has yet to answer: why would anyone go to the risk and trouble to fake the autopsy film and wind up with what destroys the purpose of the faking by destroying the Warren Report that the fake film was intended to support?

He resented this so much he left my work out of the bibliography of his second book. Waybright shamed him into adding it. You can compare what he says each time.

Harry has an additional cause for resentment now because when he told me he was going to the Archives to study the Zapruder film - after he had published two books yet - and he asked me what to look for, I told him: that the unprinted slides of the film after the fatal shot to the head show that the back of the head is intact, without a trace of blood

on the short collar or jacket - AFTER the head blew apart. Or as Harry says in his second book, after the back of the head blew out. If that mishmash has any basis, it is that the back of the head did blow out. When he called again to ask for some further help Harry told me, without my mention on it, that he was wrong in this second book.

So, what else is new?

Except that with this record he is about to turn in the manuscript of his newest, well, I won't characterise it. But it should be titled something like Farewell Harry's Imagined America.

Of his Dallas sources Harry did not identify Currington by name. He did Rothermel and indicated others I told him were using him and that he could satisfy himself on this easily. He has always had unsupervised access to my records as has his honcho Waybright, which led to his stealing some. So, if Harry claims to have a source for what he says he was also told in advance that what they told him was false and intendedly defamatory and he was invited to see for himself.

I am not thinking of suing. But this month someone will probably be reading his manuscript and should wonder how true it is, whether or not it is actionable, whether it is even rational. So, in advance of it, if it comes to you, you can have this glimpse of the actualities. And I do wonder if there is anyone if with proper caution C & G wants a reading who knows enough to provide what would be required.

Can't use me. I am parti pris as part of his two conspiracies.
I thought of this further when on my morning walking and then cranking away on the Cybex UHE machine. My purpose is not argument, as I can prove readily by arguing.

I believe that there is certain to be a question of malice. Not by me, not I believe by Mary Ferrell as of now. I have no way of knowing about Shaw because I have never been in touch with him, never got his book or its reprint, and remember his being here only once several years ago. But Gary Mack has told me he will sue. It also happens that coinciding with Harry's widespread campaign (one who was here as recently as three days ago knew all about it) Mack lost his job. If it was an economy wave, it was an economy wave of one. If Harry's ms holds what he told me about the Bronson film malice will be obvious there.

My files on Harry go way back and hold convincing proof of paranoia. He was about to be killed in Maine so he wanted me to know in advance. He had just had the dalights beaten of of him in Canada but luckily survived that, etc. He was so sure he was going to be killed he dubbed a set of his first interviews with the Dallas doctor and gave them to me on a series of 7" reels so I would have them if and when he was done in.

Without asking me if I were willing or offering to pay me he sent me several chapters on the medical evidence in his first book, an unseparated computer printout, first I ever handled. He led me to believe he would come here to discuss what I saw in it. So, I read it rather awkwardly, not knowing that he did not want it back and in the form in which he

sent it. I placed many paperclips in the margin where I believe there was should be discussed, some time went past, and then he phoned me. The book came out and he ignored all of it. I asked why he had put me to all that trouble, wasted all that time for me, and even why he asked me about it if he had no intention of using what I would tell him. His first explanation was that when he phoned me he was in a phone booth in Canada and could not make notes and had no copy with him anyway.

Later he argued, with no initiative from me, that what I had written in Whitewash and in Post Mortem is "incomprehensible". He even got a college professor friend to write him such a letter. High school kids and people who labor to write me had no such trouble but according to Harry and his claque it is not comprehensible. But the real problem with my writing to him is that before he published a work it proved both his books bascially and irremedially wrong.

As it relates to my pointing out to him pre-publication that nobody would fake film to support the Warren Report by creating what destroys it, one of the areas of his claim of incomprehensibility I did publish the official records in facsimile and they are not at all incomprehensible. Neither are my notes. I enclose a few illustrations. He knew before he published his first book that the film could not be regarded as phonied up because in two of many obvious ways the official interpretations of them do destroy the basic conclusions of the Report, by showing that there was fragmentation in JFK's neck when the Report and its single-bullet theory require that there not be any at all and by locating the point of entry of the fatal head wound four inches lower in the Report than the film proves it to have been.

So, this is what he cannot face and that makes me his enemy, out to ruin him, as does what I had told him and he has the exact opposite basic in his second, that the Zapruder film proves without question that the back of the head was not blown out. He looked as he should have before writing after the second book was published, what in response to his question I told him to look for, and he phoned to tell me I was right and his book is wrong.

That was when he told me not that he was working on High Treason 3. He said he was working on a TV documentary with someone in New York.

Still without telling me it is for a book in the phone call in which he accused me of being a coconspirator to kill and another one to prevent his breaking the case wide open, his words repeated often, he told me that both the Zapruder film and Bronson's have been doctored. I told him that is in each instance impossible in the sense in which he said it and told him I have and he is welcome to the truth. In each case he made something up that he likes, regardless of reality, and I am further his enemy and more a conspirator.

I have never said a word in public about any of his writing or about him. When the Baltimore Sun asked me to when his first book first appeared I refused to. I could have ruined him then and in reprospect I wonder if we would not all be better off, including him, it I had. But I did not want to be involved in any controversy and singling him out and not say Lifton would not have been fair.

I could have charged him and Waybright with theft because when Harry sent him here to ~~get~~ copy some of my work on Lifton, Waybright, as Harry's agent, stole those records, as Harry himself, after denying it to me, admitted to another. I could have told the Baltimore police when its internal investigations detective phoned me. I didn't even though I had another Baltimore policeman as witness.

How much of a conspirator was I to him until he got this sudden, blinding vision when he was in Dallas and by his own boast being helped by retired policemen there, an FBI agent and a former FBI agent plus another he did not identify to me?

He sent me a check for $500 just before he left. Now he had taken and wasted an extraordinary amount of time for me, forcing himself on me. I told him three times that I recall and my files may reflect a fourth that I wanted not to hear from him again and not to come here again but he persisted. Physically, as is true of the Ferrells, I was not in a position to do anything about it. They and I had little choice and both of us feared crossing him with what he had already displayed.

(When he and Richard appeared at their door recently, with a bunch of long-stemmed rose, Harry told her he had written he a letter he asked her not to open. He asked her to sign a waiver saying she would not sue him after his book appeared. Waybright, who had given them two cars, one identifying him as a Baltimore policemana and the other as an assassination researcher, asked her to sign a statement that he had not represented himself as being there as a Baltimore policeman, which he had just done all over again and what Harry had claimed in many letters. Mary did not sign either.)

With regard to each of Harry's current inventions I told him they were not true and he was welcome to the proof of this that I have. He did not accept my invitation. He could have sked me to send him copies or he could have sent Waybright to go over and copy whatever they wanted. Instead he had Wayrbright write me as in his own interest, not Harry's, asking for a copy of the FBI Bronson report. There are more but I sent him two because the second one I sent related to the one about which he asked.

Going back to that $500 check, we had not decided whether or not to cash it. It is slight repayment for the time he took and wasted for me but I had not made up my mind whether to accept it as a niggardly payment for that when he began his tirades, first by phone and then by mail. The day I got the letter I sent you I decided to cash the check, as his own check records will reflect.

The Hunt brothers fired, among others, Rothermel and Currington charging thievery. They have motive for vengeance. The old man retained his liking for Rothermel and the last time I saw him he took me into his inner office, which had a hospital bed and a phone alongside it, dialed Rothermel's number or gave it to me and asked me to phone him. My interest then was Percy Foreman, not the old man.

The brothers hired incompetent wiretappers, Rothermel detected it and got his local police to catch them, as they did, complete with reels of tape. The lawyer for one of the

wiretappers looked me up, gave me a full set of proofs other than what Rothermel had told me, and the whole story that I'd intended suing when I returned, as I never did, to a planned new King assassination book.

If Harry knew that the old man wanted me to be a ghost writer for him would he not have his imagined conspiracy really nailed?

Harry's overt malice became apparent with the stink he made at the October ASK convention in Dallas. I have an impartial report on it and the frightful scenes Harry made from a Tennessee school teacher who was there. I can ask his permission to give you a copy. You can find this out for yourself by a self-promotion Harry told me he arranged that was published. Harry took a reporter from the Baltimore City Paper to that convention with him, intending that the paper carry an account of how all the aseembled (so-called) critics honored him and fawned all over him. Which they didn't. That reporter wrote and the paper published a delightful account of Harry acting like Harry. Harry later told me it was unfair, dishonest. But it is in accord with what the school teacher wrote and with all I heard. His self-concept is beyond belief! He even phones people to ask for an interview and offends them by giving them to understand he is doing them a great favor. He also has to see if he can fit the interview he asks for into his busy schedule. It is refusal to regard Harry as he wants to be regarded that inspires his malice toward so many.

With Gary Mack the reasons include Mack's refusal to recommend to Bronson's lawyer that he let Harry see that film. Mack's reason is known to C & G, from what he told me. He wrote them, without response, that Harry had misquoted him. Besides which Harry had made it clear that he waa going to make sweeping charges that the Bronson film had been doctored by or on behalf of his imagined conspirators. Who would make himself party to having such charges made against him?

The conspiracy charges he has told me and many others he has in his book are not trivial, not in any way.

You or anyone C & G may want to see my files are welcome to and to make copies of anything they may want except for possibly a few letters others who may not want to be involved sent me. As of now I recall only one.

Whatever sourdes Harry may quote, even if he quotes only accurately, are not and cannot be accurate and truthful. I have told him this and offered him the proof. I do not know whether this bears on malice but it is a fact. And not only with regard to me personally.

I can play Merlin and remember the future but unless asked I won't. But I do offer some unsolicited advice: someone should think ahead.

If someone files suit even if I want to avoid any involvement in that, and I have no reason other than personal for wanting to avoid that, I cannot avoid being deposed and I would be subject to a duces tecum subpoena. As I think may be true of many.

From what Mack has told me more than once, the last time only lastweek, he will sue.

Harry has, to me and in writing, included the locally prestigeous law firm for which Mary Ferrell worked in his conspiracy to kill JFK.

Aside from whatever they may or may not do, I am without doubt that there are people in Dallas who will offer her counsel.

She probably has been already. I was and I declined to file any civil suit, alone or with others.

No, the offer to me was not from any of his imagined conspirators.

As you can gather from the time I've taken for this I regard it as a serious matter for more than for me and Harry's other imagined villains.

As I read and correct this, where I refer to the letter Harry wrote Mary and he asked her not to open, she did when it came. A closer friend of hers told me it is so vile that Mary is unwilling for me to have a copy. Mary did herself tell me that in it Harry threatened her, telling her that when she landed at the Chicago airport for the convention she had planned to attend, the state police there would arrest her. She told me she would not go there.

Lil has just returned with the mail. There is in it a letter to me from Mary Ferrell, dated day before yesterday. She sent me the letter Harry wrote her and more, the more I regard as the ugliest, rottenest attempt at blackmail. I have her permission, if I desire, to use the letter. I am the only one to whom she has given a copy. After reading it but one time despite his awareness that some use has been made of some his letters and what he says about himself and his publishers I think you should know its contents. I enclose a copy and I'll inform Mary of what I have done and said.

The threat to have her arrested and to use his influence to do that is there, along with what you can interpret for yourself. There are other threats and there is some pretty disgusting references to all of us, as criminals, gangsters and slime. You may also want to notice that he says we are all under surveillance. I make no other reference to the nature of the blackmail, other than to assure you that it is as reprehensible as anything I can imagine. It also was clearly designed to tell her that his police have done for him the dirtiest of chores and for that to be an additional threat to all of us. This to an elderly and ill and very decent woman and her husband who has been in terminal illness for whom she cares despite her limitations from illnesses and accidents.

She became his conspirator against him and the truth when she was honored at the October ASK convention by being asked to make the keynote address and he appeared there, as I recall the teacher's memo to which I refer, in the full expectation that he would receive that honor for no other reason than he believed they should have done that and didn't.

His reference to having us under surveillance reminds me of what happened to me that may or may not be related.

After Harry started his campaign against us I started daily and frequent harassing phone calls.

I went to the State police. It was suggested that I either get the phone company to monitor my phone or for me to get what was new to me, Caller ID. I decided to do the latter, knowing nothing about it, and I decided to do it without using my phone. But as I thought about it I decided to do it all on my phone because that could tell me whether it was being monitored or not. So, I first phoned around and priced the device and then I got it and phoned the phone compnay to instal it. Beginning I think the second day those regular calls ended abruptly and I have had not a single one since then. They ended before the connections were made so I could not identify the calling numbers.

It tends to bear out his frequent boasts of his working with the police and their working with him.

I ~~LEARNED ABOUT THA~~ that Operation Firehell only because Harry gave a copy of part of an alleged mailing to a man who gave it to me, asking that I not identify him to anyone. I never heard of it and if such a thing existed I am sure I would have. I therefore belive it is something he cooked up with his cops to make himself appear to be persecuted.

Those of us he has targeted want only to be left alone by him. None of us has the interest it reflects or the time to waste on so childish a thing. Or is stupid enough to have rexeroxed the copy so many time to make it fuzzy. There is no such need if this were an actual project against him.

When I began this I had no intention of writing you at this length. That I have the day before my new consultation at Johns Hopkins, with that and preparing for it on my mind is, I hope you will see, a reflection of the seriousness with which I regard the — let me call it the "matter."

I hope that you, plural, will also regard it quite serious.

Best,

Harold

of the margins of the bone when viewed from the inner aspect of the skull. This is characteristic of a wound of entry in the skull.

Exit

The autopsy report further states that there was a large irregular defect of the scalp and skull on the right involving chiefly the parietal bone but extending somewhat into the temporal and occipital regions, with an actual absence of scalp and bone measuring approximately 13 cm. (5.12 inches) at the greatest diameter. In non-technical language, this means that a large section of the skull on the right side of the head was torn away by the force of the missile. Photographs Nos. 5-10 inclusive, 17, 18, 26 - 28, 32-37 inclusive, 44 and 45 portray this massive head wound, and verify that the largest diameter was approximately 13 cm. The report further states that one of the fragments of the skull bone, received from Dallas, shows a portion of a roughly circular wound presumably of exit which exhibits beveling of the outer aspect of the bone, and the wound was estimated to be approximately 2.5 to 3.0 cm. (1 to 1.13 inches) in diameter. X-ray Nos. 4, 5 and 6 show this bone fragment and the embedded metal fragments. Photographs Nos. 17, 18, 44 and 45 show the other half of the margin of the exit wound; and also show the beveling of the bone characteristic of a wound of exit. Photographs Nos. 44 and 45 also show that the point of exit of the missile was much larger than the point of entrance, being 30 mm. (1.18 inches) at its greatest diameter. Photographs 5-10 inclusive, 32-37 inclusive, 44 and 45 show the location of the head wound, and verify the accuracy of the Warren Commission drawings (Exhibits 386 and 388, Vol. XVI, pp. 977 and 984) which depict the location of the head wound.

NO OTHER WOUNDS

The x-ray films established that there were small metallic fragments in the head. However, careful examination at the autopsy, and the photographs and x-rays taken during the autopsy, revealed no evidence of a bullet or of a major portion of a bullet in the body of the President and revealed no evidence of any missile wounds other than those described above.

Note the careful game with words under "NO OTHER WOUNDS." Dr. Humes' sworn testimony is that the x-rays revealed no evidence of bullet fragments at any point in the President's body except the head. The official solution of the crime cannot stand unless that testimony is true, for the bullet officially alleged to have wounded the neck, 399, is already impossibly burdened by the requirement that it have produced all of Connally's wounds as well. Here the doctors say only that the x-rays reveal "no evidence of a bullet or of a major portion of a bullet in the body of the President" (as distinguished from the head). What this peculiar language must mean, and as the second panel later confirmed, is that there are indeed "minor portions of a bullet" in the President's body, a negation of the official solution.

S

The photographs and x-r
observations during the auto
our medical opinion as set f
autopsy report.

It was then and is now
which struck the President c
head wound were fired from
the level of the deceased.

Our examination of the
approximately five hours, a
graphs and x-rays were retu
United States.

Black is white, up is down, and t
they dispute and conclusively su

anteriorly and superiorly. None can be visualized on the left side of the brain and none below a horizontal plane through the floor of the anterior fossa of the skull.

On one of the lateral films of the skull (#2), a hole measuring approximately 8 mm. in diameter on the outer surface of the skull and as much as 20 mm. on the internal surface can be seen in profile approximately 100 mm. above the external occipital protuberance. The bone of the lower edge of the hole is depressed. Also there is, embedded in the outer table of the skull close to the lower edge of the hole, a large metallic fragment which on the antero-posterior film (#1) lies 25 mm. to the right of the midline. This fragment as seen in the latter film is round and measures 6.5 mm. in diameter. Immediately adjacent to the hole on the internal surface of the skull, there is localized elevation of the soft tissues. Small fragments of bone lie within portions of these tissues and within the hole itself. These changes are consistent with an entrance wound of the skull produced by a bullet similar to that of exhibit CE 399.

The metallic fragments visualized within the right cerebral hemisphere fall into two groups. One group consists of relatively large fragments, more or less randomly distributed. The second group consists of finely divided fragments, distributed in a postero-anterior direction in a region 45 mm. long and 8 mm. wide. As seen on lateral film #2 this formation overlies the position of the coronal suture; its long axis if extended posteriorly passes through the above-mentioned hole. It appears to end anteriorly immediately below the badly fragmented frontal and parietal bones just anterior to the region of the coronal suture.

Here we learn that the entrance wound in the head, never measured by the autopsy doctors who preferred to locate it merely as "slightly above" the occipital protuberance, was actually 100 mm. above that point. No silly millimeter here. That is 4 inches higher than the autopsy doctors made out, putting the wound high on the back of the President's head instead of near the hairline as the doctors swore to and depicted on drawings. This is how the panel "supported" the autopsy report.

The foregoing obse
was struck from behind
occipital region 25 mm.
above the external occi
fragmented on entering
of fine metallic debris
explosively fracture t
emerged from the head.

In addition to th
no evidence of project
or in the right cerebr
passing through the fl
Also, although the fra
of the midline and int
skull, no bony defect
entering or leaving t
of the midline or in
reasonable to postula
in a direction other

Of further note,
presented to The Pane
regions by what appe
on film #2, a pair o
the film. Neither o
interpretation of th

The panel's non sequitu
must have wounded the he
left side of the head could
such as a frangible bullet s
impact.

Note also the report of
was also mentioned by Humes
a description of precisely w
no way of knowing whether th
evidence.

Neck Region: Films #8, 9 and 10 allowed visualization of the lower neck. Subcutaneous emphysema is present just to the right of the cervical spine immediately above the apex of the right lung. Also several small metallic fragments are present in this region. There is no evidence of fracture of either scapula or of the clavicles, or of the ribs or of any of the cervical and thoracic vertebrae.

The foregoing observations indicate that the pathway of the projectile involving the neck was confined to a region to the right of the spine and superior to a plane passing through the upper margin of the right scapula, the apex of the right lung and the right clavicle. Any other pathway would have almost certainly fractured one or more bones of the right shoulder girdle and thorax.

Other Regions Studied: No bullets or fragments of bullets are demonstrated in X-rayed portions of the body other than those described above. On film #13, a small round opaque structure, a little more than 1 mm. in diameter, is visible just to the right of the midline at the level of the first sacral segment of the spine. Its smooth characteristics are not similar to those of the projectile fragments seen in the X-rays of the skull and neck.

Examination of the Clothing

Suit Coat (CE 393) A ragged oval hole about 15 mm. long (vertically) is located 5 cm. to the right of the midline in the back of the coat at a point about 12 cm. below the upper edge of the coat collar. A smaller ragged hole which is located near the midline and about 4 cm. below the upper edge of the collar does not overlie any corresponding damage to the shirt or skin and appears to be unrelated to the wounds or their causation.

In describing the all too few x-rays of the "neck region" the panel demolishes the Warren Report and the integrity of the autopsy doctors' testimony. Humes had sworn there were no metallic fragments in the neck visible on the x-rays (2H361). 399 is clearly unfragmented, yet it had to have caused the neck wounds for the Commission's case to survive. Thus, the panel's statement that "several small metallic fragments are present" in the neck region, although lacking the detail and precision that might be expected from such eminences, is sufficient to prove that the Report and the autopsy findings on which it was based are irreversibly wrong.

Shirt (CE 394) A
and corresponding to t
located 2.5 cm. to the
shirt at a point 14 cr
linear holes 15 mm. l
front of the shirt in
the knot of the neck

Tie (CE 395) In t
the outer layer of fa
diameter is located 2
to the left of the mi

The information c
foregoing exhibits b
following conclusion:

The decedent was
his body from behind

One bullet struc
the external occipit
that he was leaning
left when this bulle
that it came from a
bullet fragmented af
it passing forward a
of the right side of

The panel does not explain wl
shirt cannot accurately be de
at this point, and, as the pl
they were of significantly v
considerably longer than the
have accurately measured bot